# Foxborough Public Schools <br> Measures of Student Achievement Baselines and Benchmarks for Improvement 

## Introduction:

There are currently 2,584 students enrolled in the Foxborough Public Schools: 793 at Foxborough High School, 822 at the Ahern Middle School, 318 at the Burrell Elementary School, 380 at the Igo Elementary School, and 241 at the Taylor Elementary School. Included in that population are 66 English language learners whose first language is not English. Foxborough's per pupil expenditure for FY 2018 was $\$ 15,983$ * compared to the statewide average per pupil expenditure of $\$ 15,450^{*}$. The following information provides further background and context for our baselines and goals for student achievement:
*Source: MA DESE 2018 District Report Card

| Students Eligible for Free or <br> Reduced Lunch | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District-Wide (excludes preschool) | $16.75 \%$ | $16.62 \%$ | $18.62 \%$ | ${ }^{*} 20.57 \%$ | $18.29 \%$ | $22.41 \%$ |
| Foxborough High School | $15.10 \%$ | $15.90 \%$ | $18.38 \%$ | ${ }^{*} 21.29 \%$ | $19.31 \%$ | $24.09 \%$ |
| Ahern Middle School | $18.11 \%$ | $17.05 \%$ | $20.47 \%$ | ${ }^{*} 21.97 \%$ | $16.63 \%$ | $21.65 \%$ |
| Burrell Elementary School <br> (excludes preschool) | $19.38 \%$ | $15.98 \%$ | $15.82 \%$ | ${ }^{*} 12.24 \%$ | $19.20 \%$ | $17.60 \%$ |
| Igo Elementary School | $19.50 \%$ | $18.73 \%$ | $20.61 \%$ | ${ }^{*} 24.68 \%$ | $24.04 \%$ | $27.89 \%$ |
| Taylor Elementary School | $12.31 \%$ | $14.75 \%$ | $12.35 \%$ | ${ }^{*} 14.77 \%$ | $12.95 \%$ | $18.26 \%$ |

* USDA approved MA to use state Medicaid data for both free and reduced price eligibility by direct certification delivered through Virtual Gateway

| Special Education Population | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District-Wide (includes out of district placements) | $15.62 \%$ | $16.78 \%$ | $17.60 \%$ | $16.99 \%$ | $18.98 \%$ | $20.09 \%$ |
| Foxborough High School | $16.72 \%$ | $16.96 \%$ | $18.14 \%$ | $15.22 \%$ | $15.62 \%$ | $17.78 \%$ |
| Ahern Middle School | $17.65 \%$ | $18.13 \%$ | $18.75 \%$ | $20.67 \%$ | $21.14 \%$ | $21.16 \%$ |
| Burrell Elementary School <br> (excludes preschool) | $13.56 \%$ | $16.80 \%$ | $11.08 \%$ | $12.66 \%$ | $14.73 \%$ | $15.87 \%$ |
| Igo Elementary School | $13.50 \%$ | $13.62 \%$ | $16.54 \%$ | $16.54 \%$ | $19.13 \%$ | $18.94 \%$ |
| Taylor Elementary School | $11.94 \%$ | $16.80 \%$ | $13.58 \%$ | $11.39 \%$ | $16.52 \%$ | $20.33 \%$ |

Our process for identifying data to measure student achievement, establishing baselines, and setting future benchmarks for improvement has been in place for many years and continues to involve a team of administrators and coordinators throughout the district. As different groups of students are measured each year, variables can result in annual comparisons being unreliable; therefore, a "three-year rolling average" is utilized for most assessments. The process of creating baseline and benchmark goals for district assessments provides an opportunity to identify possible strengths and weaknesses of our curriculum and instructional programs. Multiple measures in addition to state standardized assessments will continue to be important for assessing student academic achievement.

In 2019, the Next-Generation MCAS was administered in English language arts and math for grades 3-8. In 2019, this new test also replaced the Legacy MCAS assessment at the high school level for English language arts and math. This assessment is an updated version of the nearly 20 -year-old MCAS assessment. It focuses on both the content standards presented in the curriculum frameworks, as well as critical thinking abilities, application of knowledge, and ability to make connections between reading and writing. Additionally, it gives a clearer signal of readiness for the next grade level or college and career. The Next-Generation MCAS was designed to be administered online, although paper versions are still available. Beginning in 2019, districts were expected to administer the test online for all students grades 3-10.

As a reminder, the transition to Next-Generation MCAS resulted in new the establishment of new baselines for all schools in Massachusetts. These achievement levels differ from the legacy MCAS. A detailed description and comparison of the achievement levels is provided below, however the new reporting categories are Exceeding Expectations, Meeting Expectations, Partially Meeting Expectations and Not Meeting Expectations. This is especially important as we are in a transitional phase with high school MCAS score reporting, and we also continue to administer legacy MCAS for middle and high school science. It is worthy of noting that the science tests remain paper and pencil and continue to have the legacy reporting categories of Advanced, Proficient, Needs Improvement and Warning.

| MCAS Achievement Levels |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Legacy | Next-Generation |
| Advanced | Exceeding Expectations <br> A student who performed at this level exceeded <br> grade-level expectations by demonstrating <br> Students at this level demonstrate a <br> comprehensive and in-depth understanding of <br> rigorous subject matter, and provide <br> sophisticated solutions to complex problems. |
| Proficient the subject matter. |  |
| Students at this level demonstrate a solid |  |
| understanding of challenging subject matter and |  |
| solve a wide variety of problems. | A student who performed at this level met grade- <br> level expectations and is academically on track to <br> succeed in the current grade in this subject. |
| Needs Improvement <br> Students at this level demonstrate a partial <br> understanding of subject matter and solve some <br> simple problems. | Partially Meeting Expectations <br> A student who performed at this level partially <br> met grade-level expectations in this subject. The <br> school, in consultation with the student's <br> parent/guardian, should consider whether the <br> student needs additional academic assistance to <br> succeed in this subject. |
| Warning <br> Students at this level demonstrate a minimal <br> understanding of subject matter and do not solve | Not Meeting Expectations <br> A student who performed at this level did not |
| simple problems. | meet grade-level expectations in this subject. The <br> school, in consultation with the student's <br> parent/guardian, should determine the <br> coordinated academic assistance and/or <br> additional instruction the student needs to <br> succeed in this subject. |

Other Important Notes:

- Spring 2019 is year three of the new Next-Generation MCAS assessment in grades 3-8 and year one for high school. We expect that over time, more students will score Meeting Expectations or above. When the original MCAS debuted in 1998, relatively few students scored Proficient, but that changed as students and teachers and students adjusted to the new expectations.
- Students in 10th grade took the computer-based Next Generation MCAS for the first time in Spring 2019.
- The next-generation MCAS is a new test with a different approach to assessing student performance in grades 3-10. Results are now intended to signal readiness for the next grade level or college and career as opposed to achievement in the grade level assessed.
- The updated assessment is not only computer-based, but has new question types.
- The MA DESE has developed transitional scores to determine proficiency levels for high school students as outlined below:

- During this transitional phase the DESE is employing an equipercentile linking model to draw parallels to the previous reporting system. Because of this, it is possible that some students may score in the "not meeting expectations" category, but still achieve a "passing" score.


## Foxborough High School 2019 MCAS Results for English Language Arts, Mathematics and Science \& Foxborough High School: BASELINE AND BENCHMARKS

| $\begin{gathered} \text { FOXBOROUGH HIGH SCHOOL } \\ \text { NEXT GENERATION MCAS - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS GRADE } 10 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} (2019 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { percentages } \\ \text { based on 171 } \\ \text { students) } \end{array} \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% Exceeding <br> Expectations | \% Meeting Expectations | $\%$ Exceeding \& Meeting | \% Partially Meeting Expectations | \% Not Meeting Expectations |  <br> Not Meeting |
| 2019 | 12 | 59 | 72 | 27 | 2 | 29 |
| *STATE* | 13 | 48 | 61 | 31 | 8 | 39 |


| MULTI-YEAR COMPARISON <br> LEACAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Advanced | \%Proficient |  <br> Prof. | \% Needs <br> Improvement | \% Failure | \% NI \& Fail. |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| 2017 | 53 | 44 | 97 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 2016 | 49 | 47 | 96 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 2015 | 50 | 48 | 98 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2014 | 47 | 49 | 96 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 2013 | 53 | 41 | 95 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| 2012 | 45 | 49 | 94 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| 2011 | 48 | 46 | 94 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 2010 | 24 | 60 | 84 | 14 | 1 | 15 |
| 2009 | 35 | 55 | 90 | 10 | 1 | 11 |
| 2008 | 36 | 50 | 86 | 12 | 1 | 14 |
| 2007 | 21 | 59 | 80 | 15 | 5 | 20 |
| 2006 | 22 | 68 | 90 | 7 | 3 | 10 |
| 2005 | 31 | 47 | 78 | 18 | 3 | 21 |
| 2004 | 27 | 55 | 82 | 16 | 2 | 18 |
| 2003 | 35 | 49 | 84 | 14 | 2 | 16 |
| 2002 | 32 | 44 | 76 | 20 | 4 | 24 |
| 2001 | 26 | 39 | 65 | 26 | 9 | 35 |

## Summary Data Statements - English Language Arts

## GRADE 10:

In 2019, $72 \%$ of students at Foxborough High School were either exceeding or meeting expectations on the grade 10 ELA portion of the Next Generation MCAS exam. This continues to be above the state average of $61 \%$.

## Data to support:

a. DESE Summary of State Results 2019
b. MA DESE Summary of School Results 2019

## Root Causes:

a. While our curriculum is closely aligned with state standards and implemented at all levels, we have recently shifted our focus from delivering/assessing content to building transferable skills in reading, writing, speaking, and listening in all grades and levels.
b. The test was new in several ways, including format (i.e. computer-based), length (i.e. two days vs. three days), and question types (e.g. two-part multiple choice, technology-enhanced questions).
c. The placement and structure of the essay prompt/response sections on the computer-based assessment required focused attention for a longer period of time than on past assessments.
d. Test design and resources for Next Generation MCAS were made available to teachers in October of 2018, (including only one practice test), which hindered teachers' ability to practice the new format with their students.

## Action Steps:

a. Increase opportunities to practice reading/writing skills across the disciplines.
b. Increase students' exposure to contextual vocabulary instruction across the disciplines.
c. Implement elements of project-based learning in all aspects of the English curriculum across all grades and levels.
d. Develop and utilize a variety of authentic assessments to monitor students' knowledge and skills across grades and levels.
e. Use Illuminate to design and implement computer-based common assessments that mimic the MCAS in question type and style to increase students' exposure to, and comfort with, computer-based assessments.
f. Use Illuminate to analyze data across grade teams, assess students' skills, and make adjustments to instruction as needed.
g. Engage in vertical alignment with the middle school in terms of skill-building and assessment.
h. Utilize the Question Formulation Technique to engage students in critical thinking in all grades and levels.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { FOXBOROUGH HIGH SCHOOL } \\ \text { NEXT GENERATION MCAS - MATHEMATICS GRADE } 10 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} (2019 \\ \text { percentages } \\ \text { based on 170 } \\ \text { students) } \end{array}$ | \% Exceeding <br> Expectations | \% Meeting Expectations | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \% \\ \text { Exceeding } \\ \text { \& Meeting } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% Partially Meeting Expectations | \% Not Meeting Expectations |  <br> Not Meeting |
| 2019 | 17 | 65 | 83 | 16 | 2 | 18 |
| *STATE* | 13 | 45 | 58 | 33 | 9 | 42 |

MULTI-YEAR COMPARISON
LEGACY MCAS - MATHEMATICS GRADE 10

|  | \% Advanced | \%Proficient |  <br> Prof. | \% Needs <br> Improvement | \% <br> Failure | \% NI <br> $\boldsymbol{\&}$ Fail. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 1}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| 2017 | 64 | 29 | 93 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| 2016 | 65 | 25 | 90 | 8 | 2 | 10 |
| 2015 | 64 | 26 | 90 | 8 | 8 | 10 |
| 2014 | 61 | 26 | 88 | 9 | 4 | 13 |
| 2013 | 66 | 22 | 88 | 7 | 5 | 12 |
| 2012 | 55 | 31 | 86 | 8 | 6 | 14 |
| 2011 | 63 | 22 | 85 | 14 | 1 | 15 |
| 2010 | 59 | 25 | 84 | 13 | 3 | 16 |
| 2009 | 59 | 26 | 85 | 13 | 2 | 15 |
| 2008 | 52 | 34 | 86 | 10 | 3 | 13 |
| 2007 | 48 | 33 | 81 | 14 | 5 | 19 |
| 2006 | 52 | 31 | 83 | 11 | 6 | 17 |
| 2005 | 50 | 29 | 79 | 13 | 9 | 22 |
| 2004 | 38 | 35 | 73 | 22 | 5 | 27 |
| 2003 | 37 | 40 | 77 | 19 | 5 | 24 |
| 2002 | 31 | 34 | 65 | 25 | 9 | 34 |
| 2001 | 26 | 41 | 67 | 20 | 14 | 34 |

## Summary Data Statements - Mathematics

## GRADE 10:

In 2019, $83 \%$ of students at Foxborough High School were either exceeding or meeting expectations on the grade 10 Mathematics portion of the Next Generation MCAS exam. This remains above the state average of $58 \%$.

## Data to support:

a. DESE Summary of State Results 2019
b. MA DESE Summary of School Results 2019

## Root Causes:

a. Use of formative assessments with frequent feedback given to students.
b. Utilization of OECD levels of math proficiency when preparing lessons.
c. Use of a rigorous task rubric, received through our partnership with OECD, to help evaluate classroom tasks.
d. Half-day math boot camp for identified students who are at risk to provide strategies and content support.
e. The new MCAS test was taken online and measured only the updated standards.
f. Practice questions to use with the students were not available until January, 2019. There were a limited number of these questions provided by DESE and once a class had gone through them there were no others to access for practice so that students and teachers could become more familiar with expectations.
g. New question types resulted in changes to the point distribution.

## Action Steps:

a. Provide frequent formative assessments that allow students with the opportunity to practice a variety of question types.
b. Provide students with the opportunity to practice online assessments by using Illuminate.
c. Engage in vertical alignment conversation with the middle school math teachers to increase consistency in curriculum content and pedagogy across grades 6-12.
d. Provide students additional support through the online platform ALEKS.

| MULTI-YEAR COMPARISON <br> LEGACY MCAS - BIOLOGY GRADES 9/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { (2019 } \\ \hline \text { percentag } \\ \text { es based } \\ \text { on } 169 \\ \text { Students } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% Advanced | \%Proficient | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \%Adv } \\ \text {. \& } \\ \text { Prof. } \end{gathered}$ | \% Needs Improvement | \% Failure | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% NI \& } \\ & \text { Fail. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2019 | 36 | 55 | 91 | 9 | 1 | 10 |
| 2018 | 34 | 55 | 89 | 9 | 2 | 11 |
| 2017 | 46 | 42 | 88 | 8 | 3 | 11 |
| 2016 | 42 | 49 | 90 | 8 | 2 | 10 |
| 2015 | 35 | 51 | 86 | 12 | 1 | 13 |
| 2014 | 43 | 37 | 80 | 17 | 3 | 20 |
| 2013 | 42 | 47 | 90 | 8 | 2 | 10 |
| 2012 | 27 | 54 | 81 | 14 | 5 | 19 |
| 2011 | 32 | 54 | 86 | 12 | 2 | 14 |
| 2010 | 19 | 62 | 81 | 15 | 5 | 20 |
| 2009 | 20 | 58 | 78 | 19 | 3 | 22 |
| 2008 | 21 | 50 | 71 | 22 | 7 | 29 |
| 2007 | 11 | 45 | 56 | 28 | 17 | 45 |


*Note: Due to curriculum shifts, beginning in 2017 primarily grade 9 students were tested on the Biology MCAS.

## Summary Data Statements - Science \& Technology/Engineering

GRADE 9/10: 2019 MCAS BIOLOGY EXAM
In 2019, $91 \%$ of Foxborough High School students achieved a rating of Proficient or Advanced on the grade 10 Science portion of the MCAS exam. This remains above the state average of $74 \%$. High School students exceeded the state by $6 \%$ of students scoring Advanced in 2019. In 2019, 100\%, of the students who took the Biology exam were in grade 9 .

## Data to support:

a. 2019 DESE Summary Report of State Results
b. 2019 MCAS Science Item Analysis Report

## Root Causes:

a. Written Curriculum is in close alignment with 2006 state standards and transitioning to 2016 standards.
b. Offered Biology Boot Camp for at risk students.

## Action Steps:

a. Fully realign curriculum to 2016 MA STE frameworks.
b. Increase focus on scientific practices, and update assessments to reflect curricular changes.
c. Increase use of formative assessments with immediate feedback e.g. Illuminate Education.
d. Investigate and implement strategies to increase student motivation and create real-world relevance for classroom activities.

## Foxborough High School: BASELINE AND BENCHMARKS GOALS Advanced Placement Tests

| Advanced <br> Placement <br> (AP) Data <br> (Average Score) | BASELINE <br> 2010-2012 <br> 3 Year <br> Rolling <br> Average | 3 Year <br> Report <br> Fall <br> 2015 <br> Goal | 3 YEAR <br> REPORT <br> FALL 2015 <br> 2013- <br> 2015 | NEW <br> BASELINE <br> 2014-2016 <br> 3- year rolling average | BENCHMARK <br> Fall 2019 <br> 3-year benchmark goal | 3 YEAR REPORT FALL 2019 (2017-2019) | NEW BASELINE 2018-2020 <br> 3-year rolling average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of AP students | 128 | 143 | 165 | 192 | 203 | 201 |  |
| \# of tests administer ed | 241 | 305 | 321 | 374 | 430 | 443 |  |
| Percentag <br> e Scoring 3 <br> or better <br> (0-5 scale) | 83\% | 83\% | 84\% | 78.6\% | 79\% | 78.4\% |  |


| Advanced <br> Placement <br> (AP) Data <br> (Average <br> Score) | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of AP <br> students | 140 | 163 | 192 | 220 | 195 | 204 | 204 |
| \# of tests <br> administered | 299 | 303 | 362 | 458 | 427 | 432 | 470 |
| \# of AP <br> students <br> Scoring 3 or <br> better (0-5 <br> scale) | 125 | 128 | 161 | 162 | 151 | 163 | 159 |
| Percentage <br> Scoring 3 or <br> better (0-5 <br> scale) | $89.3 \%$ | $78.5 \%$ | $83.9 \%$ | $73.6 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ | $79.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 9 \%}$ |

Foxborough High School: BASELINE AND BENCHMARKS GOALS Cont'd.

| SAT Data (Average Scores) | $\begin{gathered} \text { BASELINE } \\ 2010- \\ 2012 \\ 3 \text { - year } \\ \text { rolling } \\ \text { average } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | BENCHMARK <br> Fall 2015 <br> 3-year <br> benchmark <br> goal | 3 YEAR <br> REPORT <br> FALL 2015 2013-2015 | NEW <br> BASELINE <br> 2014-2016 <br> 3- year rolling average | BENCHMARK <br> Fall 2019 <br> 3-year <br> benchmark goal | 3 YEAR REPORT FALL 2019 (2017-2019) | NEW BASELINE 2018-2020 <br> 3-year rolling average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CRITICAL READING | 524.33 | 530 | 537 | 526 | 531 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *phased out in } \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| CRITICAL WRITING | 533.33 | 545 | 541 | 527 | 532 | $\begin{gathered} \text { *phased out in } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | N/A |
| EVIDENCE <br> BASED <br>  <br> WRITING |  |  |  |  | Benchmark goal to be determined | 566 |  |
| MATH | 547.33 | 550 | 549 | 536 | 538 | 573 |  |
| ACT Data (Average Scores) | $\begin{gathered} \text { BASELINE } \\ 2011- \\ 2013 \\ \text { 3-year } \\ \text { rolling } \\ \text { average } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | BENCHMARK <br> Fall 2015 3-year benchmark goal | 3 YEAR REPORT FALL 2015 2013-2015 | NEW BASELINE 2014-2016 3-year rolling average | BENCHMARK <br> Fall 2019 <br> 3-year benchmark goal | 3 YEAR* REPORT FALL 2019 (2017-2018 only as 2019 data is not yet available) | NEW BASELINE 2018-2020 <br> 3-year rolling average |
| ENGLISH | 22.1 | 23.1 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 24 | 23.5 |  |
| MATH | 23.1 | 24.1 | 23.3 | 23.2 | 24 | 24 |  |
| READING | 22.8 | 23.8 | 23.8 | 24.0 | 24 | 24.5 |  |
| SCIENCE | 22.0 | 23.0 | 22.6 | 22.9 | 24 | 23.5 |  |
| COMPOSITE | 22.6 | 23.6 | 23.3 | 23.2 | 24 | 24 |  |


| Continuing Education | NEW BASELINE $2010-$ 2012 $3-$ year rolling average | BENCHMARK <br> Fall 2015 3-year benchmark goal | 3 YEAR REPORT FALL 2015 2013-2015 | NEW BASELINE 2014-2016 <br> 3- year rolling average | BENCHMARK <br> Fall 2019 3-year benchmark goal | 3 YEAR REPORT FALL 2019 (2017-2019) | NEW BASELINE 2018-2020 3 -year rolling average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total percentage of Continuing Education | 91.67 | 92 | 90\% | 90\% | 92\% | 92\% |  |

Middle School Combined BASELINE AND BENCHMARK GOALS

| Developmental Reading Inventory (DRA): \% of students meeting the grade level benchmark on the June DRA | NEW BASELINE DRA2 <br> (only 2019 data used) | BENCHMARK <br> Fall 2022 <br> 3-year benchmark goal | 3 YEAR REPORT FALL 2022 (2020-2022) | NEW BASELINE <br> DRA2 <br> (2021-2023 <br> Rolling average) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 5 <br> (DRA level 50) | 70\% |  |  |  |
| Grade 6 (DRA level 60) | 69\% |  |  |  |

*DRA was introduced for the first time at the middle school during the 2018-2019 school year.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Mathematics: } \\ \text { \% of students meeting grade } \\ \text { level benchmarks on updated } \\ \text { math assessments - June } \\ 2019\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { NEW ASSESSMENT \& } \\ \text { New BASELINE } \\ \text { (only 2019 data used) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { BENCHMARK } \\ \text { Fall 2022 } \\ \text { 3-year benchmark } \\ \text { goal }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { 3 YEAR REPORT } \\ \text { FALL 2022 }\end{array} & \text { NEW BASELINE } \\ \hline \text { (2020-2022) }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { (2021-2023 } \\ \text { Rolling average) }\end{array}\right]$

During the 2018-2019 school year, middle school math assessments including benchmark
assessments, were updated and revised to better reflect the rigor of Next-Generation MCAS. For this reason, a new baseline has been established.

## Elementary Schools Combined BASELINE AND BENCHMARK GOALS

| Developmental Reading <br> Inventory (DRA): <br> \% of students <br> meeting the <br> grade level <br> benchmark on <br> the June DRA | NEW BASELINE <br> DRA2 <br> (only <br> 2012 data <br> used) | BENCHMARK <br> Fall 2015 <br> 3-year benchmark <br> goal <br> DRA2 | 3 YEAR REPORT FALL 2015 $\begin{aligned} & (2013- \\ & 2015) \end{aligned}$ | NEW BASELINE <br> DRA2 <br> (2014- <br> 2016) | BENCHMARK <br> Fall 2019 <br> 3-year benchmark goal DRA 2 | 3 YEAR REPORT FALL 2019 (2017-2019) | NEW BASELINE 2018-2020 <br> 3-year rolling average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade K (DRA level 3) | 87 | 88 | 83.2 | 83.9 | 88 | 80 |  |
| Grade 1 <br> (DRA level 16) | 64 | 67 | 67.0 | 68.9 | 73 | 65 |  |
| Grade 2 <br> (DRA level 28) | *58 | *61 | 61.3 | 62.86 | 66.6 | 66 |  |
| Grade 3 <br> (DRA level 38) | 62 | 65 | 62.6 | 60.6 | 65 | 66 |  |
| Grade 4 (DRA level 40) | 61 | 64 | 73.4 | 71.2 | 73.3 | 75 |  |

*written component enters at grade 2

| Mathematics: <br> \% of students meeting grade level <br> benchmarks on updated math <br> assessments - June 2019 | NEW <br>  <br> New BASELINE <br> (2019 data <br> used) | BENCHMARK <br> FALL 2022 <br> 3-year benchmark <br> goal <br> (2020-2022) | 3 YEAR REPORT <br> FALL 2022 | NEW BASELINE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Grade K | $\mathbf{8 5}$ |  | (2021-2023 <br> Rolling average) |  |
| Grade 1 | $\mathbf{6 2}$ |  |  |  |
| Grade 2 | $\mathbf{5 8}$ |  |  |  |
| Grade 3 | $\mathbf{6 8}$ |  |  |  |
| Grade 4 | $\mathbf{7 3}$ |  |  |  |

During the 2018-2019 school year, all elementary math assessments including benchmark assessments, were updated and revised to better reflect the rigor of Next-Generation MCAS. For this reason, a new baseline has been established.

Next-Generation MCAS Grades 3-8 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

English Language Arts Next-Generation MCAS Grades 3-8


| 2019 Next-Gen MCAS <br> English Language Arts- Grades 3-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \%Foxborough Meeting/Exceeding Expectations |  |  | \% Foxborough Not Meeting/Partially Meeting Expectations |  |  |
|  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Grade 3 | 51 | 57 | 60 |  | 43 | 41 |
| Grade 4 | 53 | 54 | 61 | 48 | 46 | 39 |
| Grade 5 | 35 | 53 | 48 |  | 47 | 52 |
| Grade 6 | 48 | 51 | 53 | 51 | 49 | 47 |
| Grade 7 | 45 | 51 | 62 | 55 | 49 | 38 |
| Grade 8 | 68 | 42 | 54 | 53 | 57 | 46 |
| Grades 3-8 avg | 46 | 51 | 54 | 54 | 49 | 46 |

GRADES 3-8:
In most grades, the percent of students who met or exceeded the expectations on the 2019 Next Gen MCAS test was above the state level. The percent of students in grade 6 who met or exceeded expectations was just below the state, while there was a greater gap between our grade 5 students and the state. These overall percentages do not reflect the gains made on specific standards by grade level in comparison to last year nor do they reflect measures of student growth.

## Data to support:

a. MA DESE Summary of State Results 2019
b. MA DESE Summary of District/School Results

## Root Causes:

a. Uniform collaborative planning and implementation of StudySync in grade 8.
b. MCAS data analysis process supported grade level teams identifying gaps between instructional practices and assessment demands.
c. Grade 5 is a transition year for students.
d. Systematic implementation of online keyboarding program for grades 3,4 , and 5 .

## Action Steps:

a. Work in collaboration with the elementary principals to achieve writing goals included within the school improvement plan.
b. Utilize content, grade level and professional learning community structures to support uniform practices for writing instruction, K-8.
c. Develop benchmark assessments to better align to the curriculum frameworks and the expectations of the NextGen MCAS.
d. Utilize benchmark assessment data to address instructional deficits around identified standards.

Next-Generation MCAS Grades 3-8
MATHEMATICS


| 2019 Next-Gen MCAS <br> Mathematics - Grades 3-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \%Foxborough Meeting/Exceeding Expectations |  |  | \% Foxborough Not Meeting/Partially Meeting Expectations |  |  |
|  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Grade 3 |  | 61 | 64 | 40 | 39 | 36 |
| Grade 4 | 52 | 59 | 72 | 48 | 42 | 27 |
| Grade 5 | 52 | 52 | 48 | 48 | 47 | 53 |
| Grade 6 | 63 | 64 | 70 | 37 | 36 | 30 |
| Grade 7 | 67 | 64 | 66 | 32 | 36 | 34 |
| Grade 8 | 77 | 76 | 65 | 23 | 24 | 37 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grades } \\ & \text { 3-8 avg } \end{aligned}$ | 62 | 63 | 62 | 38 | 31 | 38 |

## Summary Data Statements - Grades 3-8 Next-Gen MCAS Mathematics

## GRADES 3-8:

In all grades, Foxborough achievement in math is at or above the state average. In $201962 \%$ of Foxborough students in grades 3-8 met or exceeded expectations on MCAS compared to $49 \%$ of the state.

## Data to support:

a. 2019 DESE Summary Report of State Results
b. 2019 MCAS Math Item Analysis Reports

## Root Causes:

a. Fidelity across grade levels with the Guided Math model to effectively meet individual student needs.
b. Revision of district assessments to better reflect the rigor of the standards.
c. Alignment of curricular resources to the standards.
d. Grade 5 curriculum in the process of revision.

## Action Steps:

a. Professional development offerings to support Guided Math, math workshop and best practices.
b. Pilot new math program in grade 5 .
c. Normalize computer usage, even at the youngest grades, through experiences such as Typing Club, Buzz, Red Bird and Illuminate for online math unit assessments.
d. Revise district assessments using Illuminate Item Bank.
e. Implement a Fact Fluency Block in grades K-4 to address strategies required for procedural fluency.
j. Leverage common meeting times to collect and analyze data from common assessments and maximize the Guided Math model to empower teachers to best meet the individual needs of students.

## GRADES 5-8:

Although the revised MA STE frameworks were formally published by the state in April, 2016, FPS has been implementing these standards since 2015. The Next Generation Science MCAS, reflecting the changes in these standards, was administered for the first time in Spring 2019. It is important to note that prior to 2019, the science MCAS assessed the 2001/2006 standards.

## Data to support:

a. Legacy MCAS Performance Categories Multi-Year Comparison


## Root Causes:

a. The grade 5 MCAS assessment incorporates concepts taught in grades 3,4 , and 5 .
b. The grade 8 MCAS assessment incorporates concepts taught in grades 6, 7, and 8 .
c. The new standards were assessed for the first time on the spring 2019 assessment and Foxborough has fully transitioned to the new standards
d. Professional development offerings in Science focus more heavily on the Practices, which emphasize critical thinking and inquiry versus discrete content. The 2019 MCAS has shifted to match this focus.

## Action Steps:

a. Focus on inquiry-based approach, practice standards, and 21st Century Skills.
b. Upgrade assessments through the Illuminate platform.
c. Utilize newly released updates to STEM Scopes program which now align to the Massachusetts curriculum frameworks as opposed to NGSS.
d. Implementation of Project Lead the Way throughout middle school (In 2020, expand to grade 6; In 2021, expand to grade 5)

